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Executive Summary
The Niger River Basin Snapshot: assessing the needs for adaptation to climate change and variability in a Western African river basin. This basin snapshot aims at assessing historical trends and future projections in water availability in the context of climate change and variability in comparison with impacts on the water balance that are directly caused by human activities in the basin. It also assesses efforts by local communities to adapt to climate change and variability, as well as support by government and donors for adaptation. Finally, it assesses the scope for no regret measures and possible new measures for adaptation. This snapshot is part of a series of basin snapshots in developing countries carried out by KfW on behalf of the German Ministry of Economic Cooperation and Development (BMZ).

Several Western African economies depend on the Niger. With a surface area of 2.27 million km² shared by 10 countries and a population of almost 100 million the Niger Basin is one of the most important river basins in Africa. It supports large-scale irrigation, fisheries and livestock herding, provides drinking water, generates hydropower and allows navigation. This basin snapshot is limited to the four countries of the upper and middle Niger Basin countries (Burkina Faso, Guinea, Mali and Niger) where German development cooperation is particularly active and where climate-induced changes to the hydrological regime are expected to be more severe than in the lower Niger basin which benefits from ample water resources due to higher rainfall in that area. The upper and middle Niger basin has about 20 million inhabitants, of which about 8 million each live in Mali and Niger.

The Niger is the principal river of Western Africa, featuring diverse environmental and climatic areas. The Niger has a flow of 32.5 km³ per year (average 1970-1998) in Koulikoro, Mali which is half the average flow of the Rhine River at its outlet. The flow is characterized by strong variations between the wet and dry seasons. The source of the Niger is in the mountains of Guinea in an area with very high rainfall. It then flows northeast through areas with declining rainfall, passing through the Inner Delta in the Sahel. The Inner Delta in Mali, an area that is larger than the German state of Hesse, acts like a sponge and holds back flood waters from the wet season slowly releasing it during the dry season. The river then turns southwest, passing through Niger’s capital Niamey, and then reaches again areas of much higher rainfall until it discharges to the sea through the Niger delta. The upper and middle Niger has seen little regulation through dams. The largest dam in this part of the basin, with a storage capacity corresponding to 7 % of the river’s flow, is on the Sankarani River, a tributary of the Niger in Southern Mali. However, three large dams – one each in Guinea, Mali and Niger - are planned or under construction, primarily for hydropower, increasing the storage capacity to about half of the of river flow. Currently agriculture is by far the largest water-using sector accounting for about 90% of water use, and agriculture – which is still primarily rain-fed - contributes to about 80% of employment.

Historical hydrologic trends are inconsistent. As mentioned above, rainfall, runoff (defined as rainfall minus evapotranspiration) and river discharge fluctuate significantly between seasons (by a factor of almost 1:100). In addition, both average and dry season river flows have fluctuated considerably between years. For example, the average discharge of the river at Koulikoro in Mali in 1982-1993 has been only 45% of the discharge in 1951-1970, a period of high flows. However, in 1994-2008 it increased again to 67% of that level. It is thus difficult to assess what exactly constitutes a “normal” river flow. Also, it is next to
impossible to ascertain to what extent the greenhouse effect has had an impact or not on the decrease of rainfall and river flow from the early 1970s to the early 1990s, nor to what extent it has caused its rebound since then. In particular in the Sahel, changes in land cover cause desertification with a strong impact on hydrology. For instance, soil crusting leads to reduced infiltration and increased runoff.

**Future projections on hydrology are uncertain.** The IPCC relies in its reports on the 20 most commonly used general circulation models (GCMs), comparing projections for temperature and hydrological variables for the period 2030 - 2049 with historical figures for 1980-1999. It should be noted that, in the case of the upper and middle Niger, this historical period has been characterized by unusually low rainfall and river flows. An analysis of six locations in the upper and middle Niger basin using the World Bank’s climate change data tool shows that projections by the 20 models are not consistent concerning the direction of change (increase or decrease) in rainfall and runoff. The tool does not project changes in river discharge. It would thus be premature to make investment plans on the basis of either lower or higher water availability in the upper and middle Niger basin.

**Human activities with direct environmental impacts and population growth play a decisive role besides climate change.** While the direction and magnitude of the hydrologic regime in the upper and middle Niger basin remain highly uncertain, there is greater certainty concerning other environmental trends caused directly by human activity in the basin. In the 50-year period considered by the climate models, the population of the basin is likely to increase by about 250% from about 8 million in 1999 to more than 20 million in 2049 assuming a slowdown in birth rates. Even if one assumes that only half of the increased population (about 9 million people) will depend on agriculture, fisheries and livestock, this will put tremendous pressure on already stressed natural resources. For example, it would increase water abstraction by about 6 km³ per year. This impact compares to the impact of climate change, which – in an assumed worst case of a 20% reduction in river flows compared to the low average flow of 1970-1998 – would correspond to 6.5 km³.

**Locals have a long adaptation experience.** Local communities have adapted to climate variability for centuries. In addition, they had to adapt to a significant decline in water availability from the early 1970s to the early 1990s. They have done so in different ways: At the local level, a study in Niger showed that local communities have developed fairly limited coping techniques, such as new fishing techniques and the watering of animals at the river when pools run dry. At the regional level, they have responded to the long-term decline of water availability by migrating increasingly to cities. However, it is uncertain to what extent migration has been affected by climate change and variability and by other economic factors. It is also not clear if the rebound in water availability since the mid-1990s has slowed down migration or has reduced or reversed adaptation efforts by communities.

**Governments and donors support development and adaptation.** The governments of Guinea, Mali, Niger and Burkina Faso have provided significant financial and technical assistance for rural development over the past decades, including for efforts to adapt to increasing environmental stress and desertification. While it is beyond the scope of this basin snapshot to assess the effectiveness of these measures, it should be stressed that the nature of these measures is not different from measures to adapt to climate change and variability.
All four countries have adopted National Adaptation Programs for Action (NAPAs) in 2006-07 including 71 projects with a total estimated value of US$64 million (excluding Niger, whose plan has not estimated the value of the projects). The NAPAs include future climate projections without addressing uncertainties. Implementation of the NAPAs has been sketchy.

**Recommendations: no regret measures and targeted support for adaptation.** There is ample scope for “no regret measures” in the upper and middle Niger Basin that make sense whether the climate becomes drier, wetter or remains constant. These measures include for instance the promotion of efficient irrigation methods, improving transboundary river basin management and improving the use of meteorological information. The best way to adapt to climate change and variability in the middle and upper Niger basin given the uncertainty of climate projections is to increase support for such no regret measures.

---

**Disclaimer**

The views expressed in this publication are not necessarily those of KfW development bank.
Part one: Water resources and Climate
The first part concentrates on the geographic and economic background and gives an introduction of past and future climate developments in the region including their relevance and the reliability of predictions. This includes both climate change, defined as the long term change of mean climate values and climate variability, the deviation of climate variables from the average, visible through extreme weather conditions like droughts.¹

The Niger River Basin
With a length of 4,200 km, the Niger River is the third longest river in Africa after the Nile and the Congo River. The Niger traverses four countries, two of which (Niger and Nigeria) are named after it. The river basin covers 2.27 million km² and is shared by ten countries, namely Algeria, Benin, Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Chad, Côte d’Ivoire, Guinea, Mali, Niger and Nigeria. However, the active drainage area is less than half of the basin and excludes Algeria.

Geography
The Niger crosses areas with different climatic characteristics. A large part of the river basin is located in the Sahel, a semiarid area between the Sahara desert and the Sudanian savannas. Due to the topographical and hydrological characteristics, the river is often divided into four sub basins: the Upper Niger Basin, the Central Delta, the Middle Niger Basin and the Lower Niger Basin.

¹ For a detailed definition please refer to Annex 3: Glossary of terms
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Climatic zone</th>
<th>Precipitation p.a.</th>
<th>Length of rainy season</th>
<th>Countries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sahelian/sub desert zone</td>
<td>250-750 mm</td>
<td>3-4 months</td>
<td>Mali, Niger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudanian zone</td>
<td>750-1,500 mm</td>
<td>5-7 months</td>
<td>Guinea, Mali, Niger, Nigeria</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Niger River Climatic Zones; source: derived from Laë et al. 2003, p. 5

The present paper concentrates on the former three sub basins. In order to keep the snapshot character of the study, it is mostly limited to the four countries Burkina Faso, Guinea, Niger and Mali.2 As shown in table 2, these four countries together have about 20 million inhabitants in the basin, while the majority of people is located in the Lower Niger Basin which is not part of this study.3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Benin</th>
<th>Burkina Faso</th>
<th>Cameroon</th>
<th>Chad</th>
<th>Côte d’Ivoire</th>
<th>Guinea</th>
<th>Mali</th>
<th>Niger</th>
<th>Nigeria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population in river basin</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>67.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The source of the Niger is located close to the Fouta Djallon Mountains in the South of Guinea at an altitude of approximately 800 m. With more than 2,000 mm per year,4 the area receives a high amount of rainfall. The river flows Northeast through the Upper Niger Basin. Several tributaries provide additional water, until the Niger enters the Inner Delta in Mali. During the rainy season, the delta forms a large flood plain of 20,000 to 30,000 km²,5 facilitating the cultivation of rice, cotton and wheat as well as cattle herding and fishing.6 The size of the flooded area is subject to strong annual variations, depending on the discharge of the Upper basin.7 A large part of the water is lost in the delta due to evaporation and seepage.8 According to the FAO, almost two thirds of the water is lost in the Inner Delta.9 Zwarts et al. suggest a water loss of one third.10

2 Algeria, Benin and Cote d’Ivoire are excluded although part of the three sub basins is located inside them.
3 UNEP 2005, p. 36
4 Schuol et al 2008, p. 33
5 NBA et al. 2002, p. 8
6 Laë et al. 2003, p. 200
7 In 1984, the inundated area was only 9,500 km² (UNEP 2005, p. 37)
8 Olomoda 2002, p. 209
9 FAO 1997
After the Inner Delta, the Niger reaches the fringes of the Sahara desert and turns Southwards, passing Niamey, the capital of Niger. Further downstream, the Niger River enters Nigeria and receives water from large tributaries. It flows into the Atlantic Ocean at the Gulf of Guinea.\(^\text{11}\) The Niger and its sub basins are shown in figure 1. Table 1 gives an overview of the Sudanian and Sahelian climate zones.

The river facilitates hydropower generation, irrigated agriculture, fishing and navigation and is a crucial factor for the economy in West Africa. Hence, variations in the river flow have an immense impact on the people who depend on it.

**Water storage**

The natural flow of the Niger River has been increasingly regulated by the construction of dams with the objective to generate hydropower and to store and use water for irrigation.\(^\text{12}\) However, there is still room for increasing energy production through the construction of further dams. The World Bank estimates that 30,000 gigawatt hours could be generated in the Niger River and its tributaries, but only 6,000 have been developed.\(^\text{13}\) This includes Nigeria, by far the biggest producer. However, the impacts of the construction of new dams might also be harmful. For instance, the Inland Delta depends on floods from the Upper Niger. Flooded areas might play an important role in groundwater formation. Artificial reservoirs might also lead to a decreasing flow of the river, resulting increased evaporation and sedimentation. In addition, water hyacinths might proliferate in standing waters. Therefore, all impacts should be carefully considered before the construction of new reservoirs.

In Nigeria, 22% of the total energy is generated at the Kainji and Jebba dams.\(^\text{14}\) Mali constructed four dams, i.e. the Sélingué dam on the tributary Sankarani which is mainly used for hydropower, the Sotuba dam which serves a small hydropower plant, a dam in Markala, which provides water for an irrigated area controlled by the *Office du Niger* and Talo dam which provides water for rice irrigation. The Djenne dam is currently under construction. Talo and Djenne dams are located on the Bani River, the main tributary of the Niger which contributes to the more than 40% of its discharge.

Zwarts et al. assessed the share of water which is withdrawn at the dams and compare it to the average discharge of the Niger and its tributaries from 1970 to 1998 with the following results: 0.83 km³ per year compared to a flow of 8.9 km³ or 9.3% of the water is withdrawn from the Sankarani at Sélingué. The water withdrawn from the Niger River for irrigation at Markala amounts to 2.69 km³ or 8.3% of the 32.5 km³ measured in Koulikoro. In dry years, this figure increases to 15% and in wet years it is reduced to 4%. Compared to this, the impact of the Sotuba dam (0.22 km³) and the amount which according to the authors is withdrawn in the Inner delta for irrigation (0.21 km³) are small. Concerning seasonal impacts, the authors write that the peak flood is reduced and the flow in the dry season is higher, due

---

\(^{10}\) Zwarts et al. 2005, p. 33
\(^{11}\) UNEP 2005, p. 37
\(^{12}\) UNEP 2005, p. 37
\(^{13}\) World Bank 2005, p. 58
\(^{14}\) NBA et al. 2002, p. 9
to the water storage function of the Sélingué dam. In the dry season, the water release at Sélingué exceeds the water intake at Markala.\textsuperscript{15}

A new dam is under construction in Taoussa/Tossaye, downstream of the Inner Delta.\textsuperscript{16} In Guinea, a dam is planned at the Niandan tributary. The dam's capacity would almost be three times as big as the biggest existing one in Sélingué (Mali) and therefore have a considerable impact on water availability in the Inner Delta.\textsuperscript{17} In Niger, the Kandadji dam is currently under construction, about 180 km upstream of Niamey. The construction is expected to be completed in 2013. The dam will reduce Niger's dependency on Nigerian electricity, part of which is generated through large dams in the Lower Niger Basin. Part of the financing comes from the Islamic Development Bank, the OPEC Fund for International Development, the African Development Bank, the Saudi Development Fund and the Niger Basin Authority.\textsuperscript{18} Annex 1 shows a table with the major characteristics of the dams.

### Agriculture

Agriculture contributes a very high share to the national GDP and employment of all selected countries. The vast majority of agricultural activities are rain-fed and not irrigated. As shown in table 3, less than 5% of the cultivated area was equipped for irrigation in 2002. According to UNEP, West Africa’s rain-fed agriculture is primarily used for self-sufficient nutrition, based on food crops like millet, corn and sorghum.\textsuperscript{19}

However, irrigated agriculture usually accounts for a higher share in the GDP and employment compared to the share in cultivated area. In the irrigated area of the Office du Niger in Mali, about 590,000 tons of rice and 303,000 tons of sugar cane are produced per year.\textsuperscript{20} As shown in annex 1, water is abstracted from the Niger and its contributories and used for irrigation at all listed dams.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Burkina Faso</th>
<th>Guinea</th>
<th>Mali</th>
<th>Niger</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contribution of agriculture to GDP</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of labor in agricultural sector</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of water used by agricultural sector</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of cultivated area equipped for irrigation (2002)</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Relevance of agriculture in Burkina Faso, Guinea, Mali and Niger; sources: Agricultural water use: CIA 2010; share of irrigation: FAO 2010b

### Navigation

In many areas, the Niger River is used for navigation, depending on time and location. Between Guinea and Mali however, there is no commercial traffic. From August to January, the Niger is navigable from Koulikoro to Gao. The Inland Delta is navigable for small fishing boats throughout the year. In the wet season, boats are the most popular transport method in

\textsuperscript{15} Zwarts et al. 2005, p. 32-35
\textsuperscript{16} For more information on dams in the Upper Niger Basin, refer to Zwarts et al. 2005
\textsuperscript{17} Zwarts et al., 2005
\textsuperscript{18} Wikimedia Foundation 2010
\textsuperscript{19} UNEP 2005, p. 30; Awaiss and Humphrey, p. 42
\textsuperscript{20} World Bank 2005, p. 6
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the delta.\textsuperscript{21} Mopti on the Bani tributary serves as main port in the Inland Delta. Downstream of the delta, navigation on the Niger is limited until Timbuktu. From Timbuktu to Niamey, navigation is possible, although difficult in some parts due to rocks and rapids.\textsuperscript{22}

Livestock and Fishing

It was estimated that in the Inner Delta alone, about two million cattle and four million sheep and goats graze the floodplains each year just after the flooding.\textsuperscript{23} Small scale fishery is practiced along the whole basin. It is the Inner Delta, where fishing is a relevant commercial sector. About one third of the rural population inside the delta depends on fishery. However, the amount of fish varies considerably due to the spatial variation of the flooded area. Large floods provide about three times more fish than small floods.\textsuperscript{24} According to the Operation Pêche Mopti (OPM), the fish production in the Inner Delta ranged from 54,000 to 133,000 tonnes between 1967 and 2001.\textsuperscript{25}

Water quality

Information on water and soil pollution is poor.\textsuperscript{26} However, threats to water quality seem to be limited at present in the Upper and Middle Basins and the Inner Delta. According to the Niger Basin Authority (NBA), mining activities and deforestation are practiced in the Fouta Djallon area in Guinea, leading to pollution and sedimentation of the river bed.\textsuperscript{27} Gold and diamonds are exploited in the Upper and the Middle Basin, often in a traditional way with negative impacts on the environment, e.g. through open holes or the diversion of water ways. In the same areas, small scale industrial activities combined with a lack of water treatment and waste control threaten the river water quality.\textsuperscript{28}

Agricultural pollution through fertilizers and pesticides is low. However, cases of eutrophication have been reported.\textsuperscript{29} The lack of sanitation infrastructure along the river basin is another factor leading to the degradation of water quality.\textsuperscript{30} In addition, the decreasing flow has a direct impact on water quality, since it reduces the river’s sediment transport ability.\textsuperscript{31} Besides climate change, land use has been identified as a critical factor with large direct environmental and hydrological impacts which are further described below.

Vulnerability and Relevance of Climate Change and Variability

Countries located in the Niger River Basin are particularly vulnerable to climate change and variability due to several factors. In particular the countries located in the Sahel depend on water from the river.\textsuperscript{32} In fact, most of the issues which contribute to the strong vulnerability are more urgent for the development of the countries than climate change itself. Approaches

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{21} Zwarts et al. 2005, p. 236
\item \textsuperscript{22} World Bank 2005, p. 19-20
\item \textsuperscript{23} Zwarts et al. 2005, p. 110; World Bank 2005, p. 6; Laë et al. 2003, p. 4
\item \textsuperscript{24} Zwarts et al. 2005, p. 91
\item \textsuperscript{25} Zwarts et al. 2005, p. 99
\item \textsuperscript{26} NBA et al. 2002, p. 62
\item \textsuperscript{27} NBA et al. 2002, p. 8
\item \textsuperscript{28} NBA et al. 2002, p. 20
\item \textsuperscript{29} NBA et al. 2002, p. 62
\item \textsuperscript{30} World Bank 2005, p. 67
\item \textsuperscript{31} UNEP 2005, p. 37
\item \textsuperscript{32} IUCN 2004, p. 11
\end{itemize}
addressing them can lead to increased resilience and can be classified as adaptation to climate change and variability.

Strong poverty reduces the countries’ adaptive capacity (i.e. ability to cope with climatic threats). All countries in the river basin are classified as developing countries, six of them as Least Developed Countries (LDCs) by the United Nations, including Guinea, Burkina Faso, Mali and Niger.

Dependency on agriculture: A large share of the national economies depends on agriculture and cattle-breeding.\textsuperscript{33} The vulnerability is further increased by the fact that most of the agriculture is rain-fed (see table 3). The dependence on agriculture became visible after a massive drought in 1984, when the GDP of Mali and Niger fell by 9 and 18 percent, respectively.\textsuperscript{34}

Demographics: Moreover, rapid population growth and urbanization cause increased pressure on water resources. The population growth rate ranges from 2.6% to 3.7% per year. Migration to urban areas is expected to further increase water demand.\textsuperscript{35} However, infrastructure including water and sanitation systems is poorly developed. Table 4 shows some national key figures concerning population and infrastructure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Burkina Faso</th>
<th>Guinea</th>
<th>Mali</th>
<th>Niger</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population (in million)</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population growth</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population in the Niger River Basin (in million)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual rate of urbanization (average: 2005-2010)</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP per capita (USD) (2009 est.)</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>1,100</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to improved water supply (2006)</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to improved sanitation (2006)</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Land use makes the countries even more vulnerable. Changes in land use and resulting changes in land cover have an important impact on water resources in the basin. In the Sahelian part of Niger, the share of cultivated land increased from 10% in the 1950s to almost 80% today.\textsuperscript{36} Furthermore, deforestation is practised along the river basin. Wood is used as an important source of energy, while access to electricity is insufficient.\textsuperscript{37} In addition, traditional manmade, accidental or natural bush fires contribute to deforestation.\textsuperscript{38} Both developments cause increasing runoff, withdrawing water resources from land.

To mention some positive developments: through the increasing water storage construction projects, flood control will be improved. As shown below, institutional aspects like transboundary organizations, research and sector reform made considerable progress during the last years. In addition, foreign financial and technical assistance support the

\textsuperscript{33} Awaiss and Humphrey, p. 56
\textsuperscript{34} UNEP 2006, p. 11
\textsuperscript{35} UNEP 2005, p. 30
\textsuperscript{36} Cappellaëre et al. 2006, cited in Descroix et al. 2009, p. 92
\textsuperscript{37} World Bank 2005, p. 67; Awaiss and Humphrey, p. 52
\textsuperscript{38} NBA et al 2002. P. 46
communities in improving their adaptation to climate change. In particular in the Sahel zone, people can make use of their long experience with weather events.

**Climate Change and Variability**

**Hydrological data**

In 1984, 65 hydrological Data Collection Platforms (DCPs) were installed along the river under NBA’s *Hydroniger* Project. For this project, the NBA received assistance by the United Nations Development Program (UNDP), the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC) and the European Economic Community (EEC). The World Meteorological Organization (WMO) acted as a supervisor for the project. In 2005, the World Bank reported that only 15 of these stations were operational.\(^{39}\) In addition to these stations, the NBA has installed new DCPs in 1996 under the Niger *HYCOS* project, a regional implementation of the WMO’s World Hydrological Cycle Observing System (WHYCOS).\(^{40}\) Moreover, hydrological data is produced by National Hydrological Services in several member states, partly since the early 20\(^{th}\) century.\(^{41}\)

Even though the dissemination of data is part of the projects and the HYCOS project included the establishment of a regional web site,\(^{42}\) it is difficult to obtain hydrological data from the river basin. Although the NBA web site includes a direct data access portal with data from all HYCOS stations, it was not possible to get the data online.\(^{43}\)

**Historical Trends**

The basin has experienced strong climate change and climate variability. Seasonal and annual changes in rainfall and runoff have forced people to adapt to different climatic conditions at all times. As mentioned above, the region is characterized by a wet season and a dry season, the latter being significantly longer in the Sahel zone. Desertification is increasing towards the South of the Sahara desert, evidenced by the move of rainfall areas. It is difficult to separate the developments which are caused by climate change from those which are directly caused by people, e.g. man made deserts through a change of land use.

**Rainfall**

The seasonal pattern and amount of rainfall in all areas depend on the latitude and position of the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ), which migrates between North and South during the year.\(^{44}\) Compared to the period from 1951 to 1969, isohyetal lines shifted about 150 to 250 km southward in the period from 1970 to 1988 (figure 3).\(^{45}\)

\(^{39}\) World Bank 2005, p. 127  
\(^{40}\) World Bank 2005, p. 128  
\(^{41}\) Olomoda, p. 2  
\(^{42}\) World Bank 2005, p. 128  
\(^{43}\) The data access portal URL is [http://nigerhycos.abn.ne/user-anon/htm/](http://nigerhycos.abn.ne/user-anon/htm/)  
\(^{44}\) UNEP 2005, p. 32  
\(^{45}\) World Bank 2005, p. 27
Similarly, Olomoda found that the 200 mm isohyetal line shifted 100 km southward when comparing the average of the years 1950-1967 to the period 1968-1995. When analysing the rainfall data of the 20th century, a remarkable break becomes visible in 1970, with a wet period before and a dry period after that year. Since the 1990s however, rainfall has slightly increased at least in the Central Sahel.

Data are available at the monthly level for the meteorological stations in Koulikoro and Niamey. The development of the accumulated annual amount of rainfall is similar in both locations. After a wet period during the 1950s and 1960s, a decrease in rainfall starts in the 1970s and intensifies in the 1980s. Since the mid of the 1990s, rainfall increases again (figure 4).

It should however be noted that these data do not necessarily mean that the 1970s and 1980s were particularly dry. It is also possible that the 1950s and 1960s were very wet.
Decades deviating from the decadal average. In the 1970s, the situation might just have returned to normal conditions.\textsuperscript{46}

**Discharge**

According to Olomoda, the Niger River has been affected by extreme low flows “since the past five decades” with a completely dry river in Niamey in 1985.\textsuperscript{47} Comparing the data from the stations at Koulikoro in the Upper Niger, Mopti at the Nantaka contributory in the Inner Delta and Niamey in the Middle Niger over the last decades, four periods can be distinguished. There is one wet period from 1951 to 1970, one intermediate period from 1971 to 1981 with average discharge, a very dry period from 1982 to 1993, followed by another average discharge period from 1994 to 2008. The low river flow during the 1980s coincides with a great drought in West Africa, known as La Grande Sécheresse. Table 5 shows the average annual discharge during these periods and figure 5 gives a more detailed view.

![Figure 5. Average annual discharge in m$^3$/sec for the Niger River at Koulikoro and Niamey and Mopti-Nantaka; source: NBA 2010](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Koulikoro</td>
<td>1691</td>
<td>1224</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>1137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mopti-Nantaka</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>938</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niamey</td>
<td>1124</td>
<td>793</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>860</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Average annual discharge during several periods in m$^3$/sec; source: NBA 2010

It is important to mention that the annual average can only show long-term climate change trends, but the flow of the Niger varies considerably during the year. For instance, the average discharge in 1984, the dry driest year recorded in Koulikoro was 636 m$^3$/sec. However, during the dry season from January to June, the flow was not exceptionally dry.

Figure 6 shows the seasonal variations through hydrographs in Koulikoro for the driest year recorded (1984), the wettest year (1969) and the most recent year available, 2008. It becomes clear that the annual average does not necessarily represent droughts and

\textsuperscript{46} Personal communication 2010

\textsuperscript{47} Olomoda, p. 1
abundance. In fact, in the figure the year with the highest annual average is also the year with the lowest monthly minimum, with only 62 m³/sec in May.

![Hydrograph for three selected years in Koulikoro; source: NBA 2010](image)

The hardest droughts become visible when analysing the annual minimum monthly average discharge, as shown in figure 7 for Koulikoro and Niamey. Similar to the mean annual discharge, certain periods become visible.

In both locations, two peaks were recorded in 1955 (Koulikoro: 198 m³/sec in April; Niamey: 292 m³/sec in July) and 1958 (Koulikoro: 139 m³/sec in April; Niamey: 301 m³/sec in June). A dry period starts in 1973. This period lasts until 1981 in Koulikoro and ten years longer in Niamey. During this period, the discharge was never higher than 41 m³/sec in Koulikoro and 35 m³/sec in Niamey. Three times, in June 1974, June 1981 and May 1985, the monthly average discharge dropped to only 5 m³/sec in Niamey, which practically renders the term river inadequate. Three days in July of 1974 and (concordant with Olomoda’s statement) most time of June 1985, the Niger was completely dry in Niamey with a discharge of 0 m³/sec. In Koulikoro, the minimum monthly discharge was recorded in May 1973 with 19 m³/sec. The development of the two stations over the years is relatively similar until 1981. Afterwards, the level is partly considerably higher in Koulikoro. The reasons for that are not clear, but might be related to water withdrawal between the two stations.
Runoff

According to scientific literature on hydrology, runoff has increased in the Sahel Zone since the 1970s.\textsuperscript{48} When it comes to the causes for this development, it is difficult to separate direct human impact from climate change. On one hand, the climate became more arid, leading to increasing desertification. On the other hand, people have increased agricultural production in the Sahel for decades, removing grass, bushes and small trees which were already rare. This led to an impermeable crust which appeared as top layer of the soil with poor water holding capacity, increasing runoff. The impact of these significant changes in land cover exceeds the increasing evaporation rates caused by higher temperatures and even the reduction in rainfall.\textsuperscript{49} Comparing similar areas with different population density, Mahé and Paturel found indications suggesting that direct human impact is more relevant for the change in runoff than climate changes.\textsuperscript{50}

On the contrary, in areas with Sudanian climate runoff has decreased during the last century, even though changes in land cover are similar to those in Sahelian areas.\textsuperscript{51} This might be related to the role which groundwater has in Sudanian areas with respect to runoff. Consecutive dry years do not only lead to less surface water, but also to a decreasing groundwater table. This in turn increases seepage and reduces runoff.\textsuperscript{52} It is important to mention that in both areas, the hydrological circumstances with impacts on runoff are highly complex and it is extremely difficult to understand and explain them, even among hydrologists.

Impacts of climate change

Regardless of the cause, a change in rainfall frequency and intensity and discharge like in the second half of the 20\textsuperscript{th} century has important impacts on water resources in the highly

\textsuperscript{48} Mahé and Paturel 2009
\textsuperscript{49} Mahé and Paturel 2009; Descroix et al. 2009
\textsuperscript{50} Mahé and Paturel 2009, p. 543
\textsuperscript{51} World Bank 2005, p. 20
\textsuperscript{52} Descroix et al. 2009
vulnerable economies.\textsuperscript{53} This includes the direct impacts of a reduction in water supply and crop yields. In the following, some other main effects are briefly described.

\textit{Shrinking natural wetlands}: As mentioned above, the size of the Inland Delta depends on the discharge of the Niger River and varies considerably. A reduction of wetlands leads in turn to a decrease in species diversity, including fish.\textsuperscript{54}

\textit{Degradation of water quality}: Increased runoff caused by torrential rainfall often transports solids, leading to increased siltation in the watercourses.\textsuperscript{55} This has been the case in Niger particularly concerning the Niger River.\textsuperscript{56}

\textit{Decline of groundwater level}: Less rainfall and increased runoff reduce groundwater recharge. This is the case in the Sudanian areas of the river basin, where declining groundwater leads to a reduction of discharge. In the Sahel however, part of the runoff does not reach the main river and instead recharges the groundwater.\textsuperscript{57} However, the hydrological regime concerning groundwater is still relatively unexplored, indicating the need for more research in that area.

\textit{Increasing temperatures}, the projection with by far the highest probability by far will lead to increased evapotranspiration, reducing the amount of surface water.

\textit{Desertification}: The observed shift of rainfall areas results in increasing deforestation and desertification. In a feedback loop, this in turn contributes to the persistence of the drought. As mentioned above, desertification can also happen due to changes in land use and land cover which is the case in the Niger River Basin.\textsuperscript{58} The soil becomes loose and soil erosion increases. Loose soil has a poorer water holding capacity resulting in higher runoff.\textsuperscript{59}

\section*{Future projections}

\subsection*{Validity and consistency of projections}

The IPCC reports remain reference documents for climate change science, although recent events\textsuperscript{60} have re-emphasized the need to verify primary sources to check their consistency with the information in IPCC reports. For instance, the panel estimated that 75 to 250 million people in Africa would be exposed to increased water stress by 2020.\textsuperscript{61} According to the Sunday Times, this projection is based on a study which only covers three countries in North Africa, i.e. Algeria, Morocco and Tunisia.\textsuperscript{62} This clearly emphasizes the strong need to evaluate the validity of future climate change projections.

One way to do this is to check how many of the projections suggest similar developments. In order to assess the credibility of climate change scenarios in the Upper and Middle Niger and

\textsuperscript{53} UNEP 2005, p. 36-38
\textsuperscript{54} IUCN 2004, p. 14; UNEP 2005, p. 36
\textsuperscript{55} UNEP 2005, p. 36
\textsuperscript{56} Republic of Niger 2006, p. 15
\textsuperscript{57} Descroix et al. 2009, p. 99
\textsuperscript{58} Descroix et al. 2009
\textsuperscript{59} IUCN 2004, p. 15
\textsuperscript{60} Reuters 2010
\textsuperscript{61} IPCC 2007a, p. 50
\textsuperscript{62} Sunday Times 2010
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Forecasting future climate change is extremely difficult, in particular at the regional level. In a manual about integrating climate change adaptation into projects, USAID (2007) regards the “gathering of data about climate change for a specific location and interpreting that data to understand possible impacts on your project” as the most difficult part of adaptation. Today, nobody fully understands how the climate system works. Global Circulation Models (GCMs) are already “among the most complicated models ever made”. They are accurate in projecting an increasing temperature, but much less in predicting precipitation.

The geographic scale of GCMs is usually too large to serve for concrete development projects which take place in a smaller area. Hence, the attempt is made to downscale them, e.g. to the watershed level. Despite possible uncertainty, the thorough knowledge of local communities on climate change and climate variability is very precious, in particular in the absence of solid scientific projections.

the Inner Delta, several spots in that area have been examined using the World Bank Climate Change Data Portal, which compares the projections of 20 General Circulation Models (GCMs) used by the IPCC, based on the moderate A1B scenario. They show the projected average situation in the period from 2030 to 2049 and compare it to the recorded data from the period from 1980 to 1999. The tool shows how many of these models agree on the direction of the projection (for instance: more precipitation vs. less precipitation).

Figure 8. Locations used with the Climate Change Data Portal; source: Google Earth

An analysis using the locations of the gauge stations in Koulikoro, DOUNA, Mopti and Niamey plus the locations of Timbuktu and Faranah close to the source of the Niger River in Guinea (figure 8) shows a strong ambiguity of the projections. Concerning precipitation, only 12 or 13 of the 20 models project the same trend. Similarly, the models disagree on the future development of runoff (5 out of 12). These figures indicate a strong discrepancy of the

63 As a basis for projections, the IPCC uses about 40 Scenarios with different presumptions about the future development of the worldwide human society, concerning the degree of population growth, economic and social development, technological changes, use of resources, globalization and ecologic orientation. The scenarios are categorized into four groups, namely A1, A2, B1 and B2. The B1 scenario which is used by the Climate Change Data Portal assumes a strong population growth where the world population reaches a peak in the middle of the 21st century and declines afterwards and a quick adoption of new, efficient technologies. In addition, the scenario assumes increasing globalization and approximation of per capita income. A1 is further divided according to the kind of resources used (A1T: non fossil; A1B: balanced; A1FI: fossil intensive). The tool uses a A1B scenario. For more information on IPCC scenarios, please see IPCC 2000.
different models and indicate that for the time being the predictions are not consistent. However, all agree concerning temperature and predict an increase of 2°C in all locations except for Faranah (1°C). The tool does not give projections concerning the discharge of rivers. A detailed table containing these findings is shown in annex 2, while the level of disagreement of the models is shown in table 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Mean annual precipitation</th>
<th>Maximum 5-day precipitation</th>
<th>Consecutive dry days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Faranah (Guinea)</td>
<td>13/20</td>
<td>3/8</td>
<td>7/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koulikoro (Mali)</td>
<td>12/20</td>
<td>7/8</td>
<td>5/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mopti (Mali)</td>
<td>13/20</td>
<td>3/8</td>
<td>4/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douna (Mali)</td>
<td>12/20</td>
<td>2/8</td>
<td>5/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timbuktu (Mali)</td>
<td>13/20</td>
<td>4/8</td>
<td>5/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niamey (Niger)</td>
<td>13/20</td>
<td>6/8</td>
<td>5/8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Uncertainty of future climate change, expressed in number of IPCC models projecting the same (positive or negative) change; source: World Bank 2010

Accordingly, a 2002 document prepared by NBA and the United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs (UNDESA) states that “it is difficult to make forecasts regarding the Niger River Basin without risk of errors on short and medium terms rainfall.”64
Part two: Institutions, strategies and activities

This second part deals with the institutions which are relevant for water resources and adaptation and shows adaptation policies and approaches. At the end, a recommendation on future engagement in the light of climate change and variability is given.

Responsible institutions

Water Resources

At the national level, responsibilities on water resources management are shared by national ministries, their sub organizations and often other institutions. A detailed assessment of the institutional structure in each basin country goes beyond the scope of this study. In addition, responsibilities often remain unclear due to unstable governments. In Guinea, the Direction Nationale de l’Hydraulique (DNH) under the Ministry of Water and Energy is responsible for general policies and guidelines on water resources.\(^{65}\) It should however be noted that Guinea is currently governed by a military junta. Democratic elections are expected to take place in 2010.\(^{66}\)

In Mali, the Ministry of Energy and Water is responsible for planning and implementing national water resources policies. It includes the National Administration for Hydraulics.\(^{67}\) A lack of coordination between the Malian institutions has been reported concerning environmental protection.\(^{68}\) Niger has a national Ministry of Water Resources (Ministère de l’Hydraulique).\(^{69}\) However, the institutional structures are unclear, in particular since the recent coup d’état by the national military forces in February 2010.\(^{70}\)

The Office du Niger in Mali was established in 1930 by French colonists.\(^{71}\) Today, it controls a large irrigated agriculture area. Irrigation water is taken from the Niger River at the Markala dam and distributed through gravity schemes.\(^{72}\) The irrigated area covers about 70,000 hectares. Some 370,000 people depend on agriculture inside this area. There are plans to significantly extend the irrigated area. Foreign development and financial assistance supports

\(^{65}\) KfW 2007a, p. 38  
\(^{66}\) Federal Foreign Office 2010a  
\(^{67}\) WMO, GWP 2004, p. 17  
\(^{68}\) weADAPT 3.0 2009b  
\(^{69}\) KfW 2009c, p. 1  
\(^{70}\) Federal Foreign Office 2010b  
\(^{71}\) Office du Niger 2009  
\(^{72}\) Zwarts et al. 2005, p. 189-209
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the *Office du Niger* (see below).\(^{73}\)

In 1964, nine basin countries formed the River Niger Commission. In 1982, its name was changed to Niger Basin Authority (NBA). The NBA remained a weak institution and lost the support of development partners, in particular during the 1990s. This was partly due to a lack of financial commitment of the member states. In 2004, a shared vision for the sustainable development of the basin was declared by the nine member states.

In 2008, the heads of state and government of all member states decided to adopt the Niger Basin Water Charter, which is expected to increase cooperation among the countries and an integrated management of the basin’s water resources. Furthermore, a 30 year investment plan and a 5 year priority investment plan were adopted. Investments include the construction of the *Taoussa* Dam in Mali and the *Kandaji* Dam in Niger, as well as the rehabilitation of the *Kainji* Dam and the *Jebba* Dam in Nigeria.\(^{74}\)

**Adaptation**

According to the OECD, “a typical arrangement for the co-ordination of adaptation strategies is for the Ministry of Environment to assume overall responsibility for climate change. Experience suggests that this arrangement leads to weak intersectoral co-ordination. A powerful central body, such as the office of the President or Prime Minister, can better coordinate implementation by sectoral ministries, review legislation and hold implementation agencies accountable for their results.”\(^{75}\)

It is difficult to find information on the institutional roles in the countries concerning climate change adaptation. One method is to check which institution acts as contact body for the UNFCCC, editing NAPAs and national communications. Within the four countries, only Niger’s NAPA has been prepared under a central body, i.e. a council under the Prime Minister. In Burkina Faso, documents were prepared by the Environment Council under the Ministry of Environment. In Guinea, it was the Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock Breeding, Environment, Water and Forests. In Mali, the NAPA was edited by the National Meteorology Authority under the Ministry of Equipment and Transport (see annex 3).

Since 1974, the *Comité Permanent Inter-États de Lutte contre la Sécheresse au Sahel* (CILSS) provides research and training through *Application en Agrométéorologie et Hydrologie Opérationnelle* (AGRHYMET). It includes a project on strengthening the capacities of the CILSS member States to adapt to climate change in the Sahel, funded by the Government of Canada.\(^{76}\)

---
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\(^{75}\) OECD 2009, p. 70  
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With assistance of the Netherlands Climate Assistance Programme (NCAP), Mali evaluated its own vulnerability and adaptation capacity. The project was implemented in two phases in three years. The final objective was to formulate national climate change policies which are consistent with the national poverty reduction strategy and can become a part of national and local development plans. In the first phase, a refined climate scenario at the national level was designed. The phase consisted of studies to improve the national understanding of climate change. The impacts of climate change on corn and cotton and associated adaptation strategies were identified.

Moreover, the impact of climate change on two Malian rivers (the Baoulé and the Sankarani) was assessed. Phase two was focused on the link between climate change and poverty. An application was developed using the Water Evaluation and Planning (WEAP) tool (see box below) which can be used in order to evaluate the effectiveness of adaptation strategies. Based on records from the reference period between 1970 and 2000, the assumption was made that the same climate development will continue from 2005 to 2025 with a decrease in precipitation by 0.5% and an increase in temperature of 0.2% per year. Increasing water requirements in the three localities of Diouna, Kiban and Massabla were added, considering population growth, livestock etc.

It is important to note that this methodology relies exclusively on developments of the past and their application to the future. No future projection, e.g. using a GCM was compared to the scenario designed. They concluded that water resources will not meet the future requirements and suggested specific adaptation measures, among them deep boreholes with low cost technology and water and soil conservation technologies. Given the unclear situation concerning groundwater, the former recommendation is risky.

**Policies and strategies**

Burkina Faso, Guinea, Mali and Niger each adopted National Adaptation Programs of Action (NAPAs). The concept was introduced at a 2001 UNFCCC conference. Through NAPAs, LDCs can “identify priority activities that respond to their urgent and immediate needs to adapt to climate change.” The priority activities are those which would increase vulnerability

---
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and/or costs at a later stage if they are further delayed.\textsuperscript{80} A NAPA document should include links to other policies, such as Poverty Reduction Strategy Papers (PRSPs).

The focus of the NAPAs is on identifying urgent activities, not on implementing them. They do not provide a long-term adaptation strategy and they do not include any implementation mechanisms. Instead, an important outcome of NAPAs is awareness raising and capacity development in the concerned countries, resulting from the process of preparation. This is why national ownership and multi stakeholder involvement at the national level is regarded as crucial.\textsuperscript{81} However, the LDC Fund under the Global Environment Facility (GEF) is expected to finance the implementation of priority activities.\textsuperscript{82} Table 7 gives some details of the NAPAs in the four selected countries which are shown in more detail in annex 2. It is unclear if any elements of the NAPAs became part of national policies and/or development cooperation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Year of publishing</th>
<th>Number of projects</th>
<th>Total cost (in million USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>49.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: overview of National Adaptation Programs of Action (NAPAs) source: UNFCCC 2010

Climate projections were made in the NAPAs of Burkina Faso, Mali and Niger using the MAGIC SCENGEN software.\textsuperscript{83} MAGICC (Model for the Assessment of Greenhouse-gas Induced Climate Change) is a climate model which has been used in IPCC assessment reports for projecting future global mean temperature and sea level change. SCENGEN (Scenario Generator) is a tool used for downscaling the projections to the regional level. The regional results are based on several coupled atmosphere-ocean GCMs. MAGICC/SCENGEN is free of charge.\textsuperscript{84}

Possible adaptation measures

Given the uncertain future climate projections, it is recommended to rely on no-regret measures, i.e. measures which are justifiable even in the complete absence of climate change and variability. Measures which partially or exclusively target the impacts of climate change include a certain risk of uselessness, since these impacts are not clear. However, water in the Upper and Middle Niger River Basin is under pressure due to other developments. Climate change and variability could, if they happen, further exacerbate the situation. Therefore, action against trends like population growth, deforestation, and pollution of water resources contributes to improving resilience to climate change and variability and to decreasing vulnerability. There is a wide range of possible no-regret measures which might be implemented in the basin. The NAPAs and National Communications of the countries include lists of proposed adaptation measures. The following list gives some ideas on adequate measures.

\textsuperscript{80} UNFCCC 2010  
\textsuperscript{81} UNDP 2009b, p. 3  
\textsuperscript{82} UNITAR 2010  
\textsuperscript{83} Referred to as Magic Shungen in the NAPA of Mali; source: Republique du Mali 2007, p. 26  
\textsuperscript{84} UCAR 2007; UNFCCC no date
Adaptation to the impacts of climate change can be done in several ways. Most of the following measures recommended for adaptation directly target flooding in rivers, which is expected to increase in the future. Floodings already occurred in the 19th century and are not a new phenomenon. However, the rising temperature plus increasing rainfall intensity significantly increase the risk of floods in rivers. Since floods are likely to happen with and without climate change, but their size is very likely to increase due to climate change, measures targeting flooding risks in the river system can be classified as low regret measures. It should be noted that the glaciers might disappear entirely by the end of the century which would significantly decrease the risk of flooding.\textsuperscript{85}

A second category is adaptation to drought and water quality issues, in particular in Armenia and Azerbaijan. Since these developments are likely even in the complete absence of climate change, measures in that category are no regret measures.

In the coastal areas of Azerbaijan, things are different. The Caspian Sea level decreased during a long time in the last century, but increased rapidly since the 1970s. As shown above, the future sea level development is not clear. Measures targeting the risk of flooding at the coast of Azerbaijan or a decreasing sea level can thus not be recommended. In the following, several suitable adaptation measures are suggested.

**Research**

A 2009 document published by UNDP and the Stockholm Environment Institute (SEI) suggests several adaptation measures for Armenia. One of them concerns the urgent need for more research about climate change impacts and suited adaptation measures.\textsuperscript{86} Given the lack of hydrologic information in the Kura Aras River Basin, this recommendation can be transferred to the whole area. This includes the establishment and operation of a transboundary monitoring system concerning discharge, precipitation, runoff and water quality. Based on such a monitoring system, an early warning system might be established, informing downstream users of hazards and avoiding damage.\textsuperscript{87} In addition, a good monitoring system provides the basis for a sustainable flood control and risk management strategy.\textsuperscript{88} As shown below, many donors are already active in improving transboundary research.

**Spatial planning**

In areas which are threatened by flooding, damage could be avoided by an adequate land use policy. Besides the restriction of land use and settlements in flood risk zones, this includes a strategic vegetation management, for instance through reforestation.\textsuperscript{89} Improved spatial planning could also have a positive impact on water quality.

**Infrastructure**

Another recommended adaptation approach is the improvement of existing water infrastructure.\textsuperscript{90} Future developments might bring stronger floods and storms which could

\textsuperscript{85} Sylvén et al. 2008, p. 17
\textsuperscript{86} UNDP and SEI 2009 , p. 90-91
\textsuperscript{87} UNDP 2007, p. 80
\textsuperscript{88} UNDP 2007, p. 80
\textsuperscript{89} UNDP 2007, p. 80
\textsuperscript{90} UNDP and SEI 2009 , p. 91
have disastrous impacts. The construction and/or rehabilitation of adequate infrastructure would reduce the risk of such effects. Moreover, water storage facilities would help to regulate the flow and reduce the negative impacts caused by droughts. Concerning water quality, treatment facilities might be constructed and rehabilitated.

**Water Demand Management**

Water resources are under pressure in particular in Armenia and Azerbaijan. Consequently, Azerbaijan’s national communication suggests several adaptation measures with the objective to reduce water demand, all of them targeting agriculture, the largest water user. These include increased irrigation efficiency, reconstruction of drainage systems, reuse of treated drainage water and introduction of water saving technologies (see annex 3).\(^\text{91}\)

However, water saving measures might also be introduced in other sectors, for instance through improved metering and pricing principles.

**Awareness Raising**

Communities affected by flooding, drought or water quality problems can be assisted through measures with the objective to improve preparedness and self protection.\(^\text{92}\) Awareness can be raised by the dissemination of knowledge through media and education.\(^\text{93}\)

In addition to the NAPAs, the four countries also published their first national communications to the UNFCCC from 2000 to 2002. Niger published its second national communication in 2009. All national communications are listed in annex 2.

**Spontaneous adaptation**

Adaptation to a changing climate is not a new development. As shown above, climate in the Niger Basin has changed at least since the second half of the last century, with strong variations in precipitation and discharge and an increase in temperature. Therefore, people were forced to adapt to the changing situation with limited external support. In four small villages in the basin close to Niamey, Amoukou found numerous agricultural strategies with the objective to reduce climatic risks. Some of these approaches receive support from NGOs and development cooperation organizations. Concerning water resources, he mentions the following adaptation measures:

- Removal of sand from pools
- Manual removal of water hyacinth from the river
- Limitation of fishing practices
- Introduction of new fishing techniques
- Watering of animals at the river when pools run dry\(^\text{94}\)

One important approach of adaptation is migration.\(^\text{95}\) People leave areas in which climate becomes hostile. In Mali for instance, a large share of people move towards the Southern regions and cities due to low yields and shrinking water resources.\(^\text{96}\)

\(^{91}\) Republic of Azerbaijan 2000, p. 13
\(^{92}\) UNDP 2007, p. 80
\(^{93}\) World Bank 2009, p. 99
\(^{94}\) Amoukou 2009
\(^{95}\) IUCN 2004, p. 11; Zwarts et al. 2005, p. 152; UNEP 2006, p. 11
\(^{96}\) ECA 2009, p. 86
measures have been identified through the NAPAs. It is not clear if any of projects started so far. Hence, there is a large amount of possible future adaptation measures (see annex 3).

Development cooperation activities

Many bilateral and multilateral donors are active in the region in numerous projects, among them GTZ, KfW, DANIDA, the Netherlands, USAID, UNDP, UNEP and the World Bank. A short excerpt with some selected projects is given below. Due to a lack of resources, it is not possible to do a full survey of donor activities.

German development cooperation

The Deutsche Gesellschaft für Technische Zusammenarbeit (GTZ) is active in adaptation to climate change in several African countries, among them Mali and Burkina Faso. The activities are concentrated on agriculture. The Community Management of Crop Diversity to Enhance Resilience, Yield Stability and Income Generation in Changing West African Climates (CODE-WA) project supports increased crop diversity among farmers in Mali, Burkina Faso, Niger and Ghana. Under the projects, they are expected to become more independent from climate variations due to a wider scale of crops, varieties and techniques. CODE-WA started in 2008 and is expected to end in 2011.97 In the Support of the Niger Basin Authority project with a budget of EUR 7.5 million, GTZ contributes to the joint river management. Among other activities, the staff of the NBA headquarters in Niamey and the responsible national ministries receive training for the whole project duration time of ten years (2007-2016).

The KfW development bank has supported the Office du Niger in Mali since 1988. Together with other donors, areas for irrigated agriculture have been rehabilitated and expanded. The Integration of Marginal Land Users project has the objective to improve irrigation conditions in the irrigated area which is controlled by the Office du Niger and extend it by about 900 hectares and to integrate land users which are located outside of the irrigated area through improved drainage. Under the project, the hydraulic functions of a drainage canal are rehabilitated and improved. The construction of a track next to the canal facilitates easier access for future maintenance. Through an extension of the irrigation system, agriculture becomes more independent from erratic rainfalls, which is a concrete adaptation measure. The total cost of the project is EUR 8.6 million, 8 of which are financed by the KfW.99

The recently approved KfW Protection of Niger River II project improves the condition of the Niger River through the rehabilitation of its river bed in selected areas which are particularly threatened by erosion in Mali. Moreover, the project includes a study for sewage treatment and waste disposal in Mali and Guinea. The project partner is the NBA. The cost of the project is EUR 10 million.100

Selected other development partners

Together with several partners, the World Bank supports the NBA under the Niger Basin Water Resources Development and Sustainable Ecosystems Management Project. The

---
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main objective of the project is to increase regional coordination and to develop and sustain water resources management in the river basin. The project is composed of three components. The first one aims at institutional strengthening and capacity building of the NBA, including national structures and institutions. Component two aims at improving and developing infrastructure including the rehabilitation of the Kainji and Jebba dams. The third component concerns sustainable management of selected degraded ecosystems and small infrastructure.

The World Bank accounts for more than three quarters of the total project costs of USD 233.2 million. The remainder is financed by the African Development Bank (AfDB), the French Development Agency (AFD), the Canadian International Development Agency (CIDA) and the European Commission. The project started in 2007 and will presumably end in 2013.\(^\text{101}\)

**Conclusions and recommendations**

Communities in the Upper and Middle Niger River Basin strongly depend on the river. However, its flow has been highly variable through the second half of the 20\(^{\text{th}}\) century. Similarly, future hydrologic patterns are unclear. In addition to climate change and climate variability, direct human impacts through land use changes have a decisive impact on the hydrology in the river basin. For the time being, the developments in rainfall, discharge and runoff cannot be unambiguously traced back to one of these causes.

The high level of uncertainty about future climate developments emphasizes the relevance of adapting to different scenarios. This includes a reduction of vulnerability to climate variability and preparedness to possible challenges and opportunities.\(^\text{102}\) The contradictory predictions of the future climatic development related to hydrology in the region impede clear recommendations, except for the suggestion to intensify research. Transboundary cooperation and management of resources have proved to be a useful approach in order this regard.

As long as the impacts of climate change remain unclear, it is advised to rely on no regret measures instead of developing new measures that exclusively target climate change. Local experience with adaptation and NAPAs enrich the scope of concrete approaches, regardless of their doubtful basis.

---

\(^{101}\) World Bank 2007

\(^{102}\) IUCN 2004, p. 11-24
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Annexes

Annex 1: Existing and planned dams in the Niger Basin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Nearest city</th>
<th>River</th>
<th>Operational since</th>
<th>Capacity of the reservoir</th>
<th>Used for</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Existing dams</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ségou</td>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>Markala</td>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>1947</td>
<td>0.175 km³</td>
<td>Irrigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Séléngue</td>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>Bamako</td>
<td>Sankarani</td>
<td>1982</td>
<td>2.17 km³</td>
<td>Irrigation, flood control, hydropower, navigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sotuba</td>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>Bamako</td>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>1929</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Irrigation, hydropower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Planned dams and dams under construction</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fomi</td>
<td>Guinea</td>
<td></td>
<td>Niandan</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.4 km³</td>
<td>Hydropower, irrigation, flood control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talo</td>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>Douna</td>
<td>Bani</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2 km³</td>
<td>Irrigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Djenné</td>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>Djenné</td>
<td>Bani</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4 km³</td>
<td>Irrigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taoussa/Tossaye</td>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>Gao</td>
<td>Niger</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.5 km³</td>
<td>Hydropower, irrigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kandadji</td>
<td>Niger</td>
<td></td>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>2013 (est.)</td>
<td>1.6 km³</td>
<td>Hydropower, irrigation, flood control</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8. Dams in the Niger River Basin; sources: FAO 2010; Zwarts et al. 2005
Annex 2: Climate change projections for selected locations

### Faranah (Guinea)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Japanese High Resolution GCM</th>
<th>IPCC GCMs</th>
<th># Models Projecting Same Change</th>
<th>Country Average Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean annual precipitation</strong></td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>13/20</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Runoff</strong></td>
<td>-5%</td>
<td>5/12</td>
<td>-5%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean annual temperature (°C)</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maximum 5-day Precipitation</strong></td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>3/8</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consecutive Dry Days</strong></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7/8</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Koulikoro (Mali)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Japanese High Resolution GCM</th>
<th>IPCC GCMs</th>
<th># Models Projecting Same Change</th>
<th>Country Average Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean annual precipitation</strong></td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>12/20</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Runoff</strong></td>
<td>-7%</td>
<td>5/12</td>
<td>-5%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean annual temperature (°C)</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maximum 5-day Precipitation</strong></td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>7/8</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consecutive Dry Days</strong></td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5/8</td>
<td>-2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Mopti (Mali)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Japanese High Resolution GCM</th>
<th>IPCC GCMs</th>
<th># Models Projecting Same Change</th>
<th>Country Average Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean annual precipitation</strong></td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>13/20</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Runoff</strong></td>
<td>-4%</td>
<td>5/12</td>
<td>-5%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean annual temperature (°C)</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maximum 5-day Precipitation</strong></td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>3/8</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consecutive Dry Days</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4/8</td>
<td>-2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Douna (Mali)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Japanese High Resolution GCM</th>
<th>IPCC GCMs</th>
<th># Models Projecting Same Change</th>
<th>Country Average Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean annual precipitation</strong></td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>12/20</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Runoff</strong></td>
<td>-7%</td>
<td>5/12</td>
<td>-5%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean annual temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Maximum 5-day Precipitation</th>
<th>Consecutive Dry Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2/8</td>
<td>5/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean annual precipitation</th>
<th>Runoff</th>
<th>Mean annual temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Maximum 5-day Precipitation</th>
<th>Consecutive Dry Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>13/20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-13%</td>
<td>5/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6/12</td>
<td>-3%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13/20</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Timbuktu (Mali)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Japanese High Resolution GCM</th>
<th>IPCC GCMs</th>
<th># Models Projecting Same Change</th>
<th>Country Average Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean annual precipitation</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>13/20</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runoff</td>
<td>-3%</td>
<td>6/12</td>
<td>-5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean annual temperature (°C)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum 5-day Precipitation</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>-13%</td>
<td>4/8</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consecutive Dry Days</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>5/8</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Niger (Niamey)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Japanese High Resolution GCM</th>
<th>IPCC GCMs</th>
<th># Models Projecting Same Change</th>
<th>Country Average Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean annual precipitation</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>13/20</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runoff</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>7/12</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean annual temperature (°C)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum 5-day Precipitation</td>
<td>-4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>6/8</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consecutive Dry Days</td>
<td>-4%</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>5/8</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9. Results of selected locations from the World Bank Climate Change Portal; source: World Bank 2010
Annex 3: NAPA Details

**Burkina Faso NAPA**


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Indicative Project Cost (USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mitigating vulnerability to Climate Changes through the strengthening of a prevention and food crisis management system</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Securing cereal production through the promotion of supplemental irrigation in the following areas: North Region (Oudalan Province) and Centre-North region (Namentenga Province)</td>
<td>408,660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Restoration and management of Oursi pond</td>
<td>275,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Fodder production and development of fodder stocks for livestock in the Sahelian Region of Burkina Faso</td>
<td>330,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Rehabilitation, sustainable management of natural vegetation, and valorisation of Non-timber Forest Products in the Eastern region of Burkina Faso</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Control of sand encroachment/mud silting in the river basins of Mouhoun, Nakanbé and Comoé</td>
<td>352,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Implementation of irrigated crops in Gourma, Namentenga, Tapoa and Sanmatenga regions</td>
<td>443,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Protection of pastoral-suited regions in the Sahelian and Eastern regions</td>
<td>320,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Securing agricultural production through the use of appropriate technological packages in the South-East and East regions</td>
<td>297,924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Promoting community-based fauna management in the Mouhoun region</td>
<td>810,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Implementation of safety zones and backup devices to control pollution of underground and surface water catchment infrastructures (lakes, wells, boreholes) in the cotton belts of Burkina (Mouhoun, South-West, Comoé and the Easetern part of Nakanbé)</td>
<td>330,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Promoting the use of energy saving equipment (improved stoves, M'Bora stew pan) and renewable energy-based technologies (pressure-cooker, water heater and solar dryers, etc.)</td>
<td>1,230,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total cost: 5,896,884

URL: [http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/napa/bfa01f.pdf](http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/napa/bfa01f.pdf)

Additional documents (all in French):
## Guinea NAPA


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Indicative Project Cost (USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Promotion of sylviculture 1. Support to the development of community and private plantations of cashew</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Promotion of sylviculture 2. Assistance for the implementation of community-based forest management plans</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Valorisation of positive local knowledge and practices</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Promoting adaptation-oriented technologies. 1. Training of the coastal community on environmental friendly techniques to exploit oysters from mangrove ecosystems</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Promoting adaptation-oriented technologies. 2. Promotion of sea salt production based on solar energy</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Promoting adaptation-oriented technologies. 3. Dissemination of soil conservation practices</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Promoting adaptation-oriented technologies. 4. Intensification of bulrush millet crops in the North region of Guinea</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Promoting adaptation-oriented technologies. 5. Implementation of a system of early warning climate forecasts to protect agricultural production</td>
<td>350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Promoting adaptation-oriented technologies. 6. Promoting the use of solar energy for fish drying to reduce pressure on mangroves</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Promoting adaptation-oriented technologies. 7. Training on and dissemination of techniques of making compacted bricks to mitigate the environmental impacts of cooking bricks</td>
<td>350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Promoting adaptation-oriented technologies. 8. Promotion of wire fencing and hedge planting in Moyenne Guinea</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Promotion of fire management techniques and fencing</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Protection of cultivated areas neighboring the coast</td>
<td>350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Promotion of and sensitization on Multilateral Agreements on Environment and national legal texts related to the protection and sustainable use of natural resources</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Promoting Environmental Education for coastal communities</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Promoting the restoration and integrated management of small-scale hydraulic infrastructures. 1. Construction of multiple use small-scale dams</td>
<td>600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Promoting the restoration and integrated management of small-scale hydraulic infrastructures. 2. Construction of artificial lakes</td>
<td>180,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Promoting the restoration and integrated management of small-scale hydraulic infrastructures. 3. Construction of improved wells</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Promoting the restoration and integrated management of small-scale hydraulic infrastructures. 4. Surface water potabilisation by means of hydropur</td>
<td>320,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Promoting the restoration and integrated management of small-scale hydraulic infrastructures 5. Dissemination of techniques of impluvia</td>
<td>280,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Protection of spawning areas in Fatale, Konkoure and Meliaccore estuaries</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Rehabilitation of hydro-agricultural system of plains and lowlands 1. Implementation of irrigated rice cultivation in Moyenne and Haute Guinea</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Promoting income-generating activities 1. Intensification of small</td>
<td>325,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Mali NAPA

**NAPA prepared by:** Ministère de l’Equipement et des Transports: Direction Nationale de la Méteorologie (2007)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Indicative Project Cost (USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Agricultural extension of improved food crop varieties adapted to climate change</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Agricultural extension of animal and plant species with the highest adaptation potential to climate change</td>
<td>350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Promotion of income-generating activities and development of mutual assistance</td>
<td>350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Rehabilitation of aquaculture sites in Mali</td>
<td>25,760,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Promoting cereal stocks</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Promoting the use of meteorological information to improve agricultural production and contribute to food security</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Low land Improvement</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Implementation of drilling equipped with solar- or wind-driven systems</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Energy Valorisation from Typha australis</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Contribution to barrier removal for the promotion of the use of solar energy in Mali</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Implementation of a runoff water harvesting system and restoration of water points (backwater, ponds and lakes)</td>
<td>280,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Sensitization and organization of the population for the preservation of natural resources (elaboration of local conventions on reforestation and agroforestry)</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Management of brush fire in Mali</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Intensification of soil conservation actions and composting</td>
<td>1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Intensification of fodder crop</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Elaboration of a technological package of training for the population with simple adaptation practices to climate change</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Promotion of fodder stock for livestock</td>
<td>220,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Promotion of Jatropha oil</td>
<td>5,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Implementation of an information system on climate change risk-related diseases</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total cost:** 49,760,000

**URL:** [http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/napa/mli01f.pdf](http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/napa/mli01f.pdf)

**Additional documents:**
### Niger NAPA


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Indicative Project Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Introducing fodder crop species in pastoral areas</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Creating Livestock Food Banks</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><strong>Restoring basins for crop irrigation</strong></td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><strong>Diversifying and Intensifying crop irrigation</strong></td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Promoting peri-urban market gardening and livestock farming</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Promoting income-generating activities and developing mutual benefit societies</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Exploitation of surface and ground water</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Producing and disseminating meteorological data</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Creating Food Banks</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Contributing to fight against climate-related diseases</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Improving erosion control actions (CES/DRS) for agricultural, forestry and pastoral purposes</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Improving erosion control actions (CES/DRS) for agricultural, forestry and pastoral purposes</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Disseminating animal and crop species that are most adapted to climatic conditions</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Watershed protection and rehabilitation of dump-off ponds</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Building of material, technical and organizational capacities of rural producers</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Introducing fodder crop species in pastoral areas</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Creating Livestock Food Banks</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Restoring basins for crop irrigation</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Diversifying and Intensifying crop irrigation</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total cost: NA

URL: [http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/napa/ner01e.pdf](http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/napa/ner01e.pdf) (English)
[http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/napa/ner01f.pdf](http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/napa/ner01f.pdf) (French)

**Additional documents:**
- Second National Communication (2009): [http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/natc/nernc2e.pdf](http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/natc/nernc2e.pdf) (English)
[http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/natc/nernc2f.pdf](http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/natc/nernc2f.pdf) (French)

Table 10. Projects under the NAPAs in Burkina Faso, Guinea, Mali and Niger; source: UNFCCC 2010
Annex 4: Glossary of terms
This section provides definitions of the main frequently used terms concerning climate change adaptation.

**Adaptation**: is a process by which strategies to moderate, cope with, and take advantage of the consequences of climatic events are enhanced, developed, and implemented.\(^{103}\)

**Adaptive capacity**: The ability of a system to adjust to climate change (including climate variability and extremes), to moderate potential damages, to take advantage of opportunities, or to cope with the consequences.\(^{104}\)

**Climate change** refers to a change in the state of the climate that can be identified (e.g., by using statistical tests) by changes in the mean and/or the variability of its properties, and that persists for an extended period, typically decades or longer. Climate change may be due to natural internal processes or external forcings, or to persistent anthropogenic changes in the composition of the atmosphere or in land use.\(^{105}\)

**Climate variability** refers to variations in the mean state and other statistics (such as standard deviations, the occurrence of extremes, etc.) of the climate on all spatial and temporal scales beyond that of individual weather events. Variability may be due to natural internal processes within the climate system (internal variability), or to variations in natural or anthropogenic external forcing (external variability).\(^{106}\)

**Impacts** are the detrimental and beneficial consequences of climate change on natural and human systems.\(^{107}\)

**Maladaptation**: Any changes in natural or human systems that inadvertently increase vulnerability to climatic stimuli; an adaptation that does not succeed in reducing vulnerability but increases it instead.\(^{108}\)

**Resilience**: Amount of change a system can undergo without changing state.\(^{109}\)

**Vulnerability**: The degree to which a system is susceptible to, or unable to cope with, adverse effects of climate change, including climate variability and extremes. Vulnerability is a function of the character, magnitude, and rate of climate variation to which a system is exposed, its sensitivity, and its adaptive capacity.\(^{110}\)

*Note: for more information concerning definitions, please refer to IPCC 2007b and OECD 2006.*

---

\(^{103}\) UNDP 2005, p. 248

\(^{104}\) IPCC 2001

\(^{105}\) IPCC 2007b

\(^{106}\) IPCC 2007b

\(^{107}\) IPCC 2001

\(^{108}\) IPCC 2001

\(^{109}\) IPCC 2001

\(^{110}\) IPCC 2001